UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,185	02/04/2004	Jude S. Sauer	LS-006CV	4686
Kenneth J. LuK	7590 06/10/201 acher, Esq.	EXAMINER		
South Winton C Suite 204		NGUYEN, VI X		
3136 Winton R	oad South	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, NY	14623	3731		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/772,185	SAUER, JUDE S.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	VICTOR X. NGUYEN	3731						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	THE REPLY FILED <u>27 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(see MPEP 706.07).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,15-18 and 20-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/	Victor X Nguyen							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731	Examiner Art Unit: 3731							

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 11 does not place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have not been found persuasive. First, the examiner wishes to remind the applicants that on page 5 of the final office action mailed on 1/27/10 which has claims 4-5, 8-10, 20-24 and 29 rejected as under 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gin et al in view of Spaulding. While claims 1-3, 6-8, 10, 15-18, 21, 23, 25-28 and 30 are anticipated by Ginn's 013. Spaulding teaches the element that Gin does not disclose which is a blade shuttle. Thus, such claims 4-5, 8-10, 20-24, 29 are rejected under 103(a) over Ginn in view of Spaulding can still stand. Second, Applicants argue that Ginn's reference does not disclose a shaft having a distal end an opening to out side of the instrument, a path is through at least the opening of a guide tube for extending a guide wire and means for longitudinally cutting tissue along the outer tubular surface of the guide tube. The examiner disagrees. In fact, as seen in figures 1 and 2, Ginn discloses a shaft 16 has at least a distal end an opening to out side of the instrument. The shaft 16 is present in Ginn in order for a guide tube 20 may extend. Further, the channels 35-36, 38 or 40 as best seen in fig. 2 can be considered the opening as recited in claim 1. Further, the means for cutting tissue which comprises a blade 18 as best described in claim 1 of Ginn. The same arguments will apply to claims 8, 25 and 31 (as the final office action, pages 2-6, mailed out 1/27/10). Accordingly, the above noted references are still considered to read on the claimed limitations of the claimed noted.